

# DuO membrane durability study in NZ climate conditions - Introduction

The development of DuO as a new concept for a high value, bituminous waterproofing membrane dates back to 1989. The first substantial DuO roofs were installed in 1990.

In 2000, after DuO had been launched as a revolutionary new roof membrane concept for over 10 years, De Boer nv (now Soprema nv) decided to do an objective, international investigation of the quality of this waterproofing membrane. This happened in coordination with SGS and BBRI (Belgian Building research Institute) and evaluated samples form roofs in Western & Northern Europe and Asia.

In 2005, another DuO durability test was performed and test roofs from other countries were added to the list. (Netherlands, Sweden, Japan and Singapore) In 2011, De Boer nv (now Soprema nv) instructed SGS and BBRI to test the ageing DuO membranes again and two more roofs located in Germany and New Zealand were added. In 2018, another large scale durability investigation took place.

This document provides you a conclusion of the general test results and a summary of the results of the DuO membrane installed on the roof of Wellington Railway Station in 2002.

# Location DuO roof : New Zealand

# WELLINGTON RAILWAY STATION – NEW ZEALAND

Roof area	: 7000 m2				
Height	: >5 m				
Wind zone	: 111				
Installation year	: 2002				
Built-up	: Concrete roof + DuO primer + DeboFlex 2.5 T/F K180 basesheet (fully bonded) + DuO HT 4 BO/F C180				
installation method	: DuO cap sheet fully bonded				
Construction	: refurbishment				

# GENERAL CONCLUSION OF ALL THE TEST RESULTS:

- The samples indicate that there is no significant reduction regarding longitudinal tensile strength but a 10% decrease in transversal tensile strength which is still within the declared value.
- The elongation of the DuO membrane decreased by 25% over time which is still within the declared value range for a new DuO membrane.
- The nail tear resistance of DuO is high for the new membrane. Test results show that the resistance didn't deteriorate over time.
- The tests indicate that the tear resistance of DuO does not diminish through time.
- Maximum allowed shrinkage according to the norm is 0.3%. The test result of 0.1% for the older DuO membranes clearly score below that maximum allowance for new membranes. This indicates that DuO is a very stable waterproofing membrane.
- The cold flexibility of DuO stays within the norm for artificially aged membranes. It even stays within the norm for new membranes. The results show us that the cold flexibility of DuO stays very good in NZ climate conditions.
- The flow resistance of DuO stays within the norm for new and artificially aged membranes. Results of membranes installed show good temperature resistance of 150°C after ageing in NZ.
- The shear resistance of the DuO joint connection is still well above the norms that are stipulated for new membranes.

# **DESCRIPTION OF TESTS:**

# Resistance to tearing (Rivestyrke) – Laboratory TUM (Germany)

The butterfly tests are related to the tear resistance of a waterproofing system. This test is also used to determine the tear initiation and tear propagation.

# Dimensional stability – Laboratory SP (Sweden)

This test method checks the free shrinkage in longitudinal direction. The minimum result as declared by the manufacturer corresponds to good practice.

# Flexibility at low temperature – Laboratory BDA (The Netherlands)

This test method determines the flexibility of the membrane at low temperature.

### Flow resistance at elevated temperature – Laboratory Soprema nv (Belgium)

This test method determines the flow resistance (melting) of the membrane.

The minimum demanded result as declared by the manufacturer is more stringent compared to good practice.

## Shear resistance of the joint - Laboratory BDA (The Netherlands)

This test method determines the shear resistance of the joint connection between two waterproofing membranes.

# RESISTANCE TO TEARING (NAIL SHANK)

# 

#### RESISTANCE TO TEARING (BUTTERFLY TEST) - LAB TUM

- LAB BDA

- LAB DE BOER

4





THICKNESS OF LAYERS



DIMENSIONAL STABILITY - LAB SP



FLEXIBILITY AT LOW TEMPERATURE - LAB BDA



TENSILE STRENGTH & ELONGATION – LAB BDA



# Observations during visual inspection:

- The general condition of the roof is visually in good condition with 'satisfactory' result by SGS New Zealand.
- Roof maintenance is not sufficient. Roof drains were blocked. Some accumulation of dirt was noticed and significant lichen growth.
- The samples were taken at different areas of the roof surface and were repaired in a professional and watertight manner.

TESTS		OFFICIAL LABORATORY VALUES - 2018 samples				
	specification	LONGITUDINAL	TRANSVERSAL			
TENSILE STRENGTH test method (UEAtc – 1984 en EN 12311-1)	880N ± 20%	1065N	790N			
ELONGATION proefmethode (UEAtc – 1984 en EN 12311-1)	50 ± 15%abs	38	37			
RESISTANCE TO TEARING (NAIL SHANK) proefmethode (EN 12310-1)	>250N	292N	326N			
RESISTANCE TO TEARING (BUTTERFLY TEST) proefmethode (DIN 53515 en ISO 34-1 Methode B)	>110N	129N	117N			
RESISTANCE TO TEARING (NAIL SHANK) proefmethode (DIN 53515 en ISO 34-1 Methode B)	>50N	50N	53N			
DIMENSIONAL STABILITY proefmethode (SP 2187 en EN 1107-1 Methode B)	<0,30%	0,1 %				

	specification	
SHEAR RESISTANCE OF THE JOINT proefmethode (UEAtc – 1982 en EN 12317-1)	>500N	811N

					OFFICIAL LABORATORY VALUES - 2018 samples		
	new		after aging 6 months at 70°C				
FLOW RESISTANCE AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURE proefmethode (UEAtc - 1984 en EN 1110)	TOT. MEM- BRANE	TOP COATING	TOT. MEM- BRANE	DT. MEM- TOP TOTAL MEM BRANE COATING		TOP COATING	
	>100°C	>140°C	>100°C	>150°C	115	150	

	new		after aging 6 months at 70°C		OFFICIAL LABORATORY VALUES - 2018 samples			
FLEXIBILITY AT LOW TEMPERATURE proefmethode (UEAtc - 1982 en EN 1109)	L & T topcoating	L & T undercoating	L & T topcoating	L & T undercoating	L topcoating	L undercoating	T topcoating	T undercoating
	-15°C	-20°C	-5°C	-5°C	-30	-34	-26	-29

# Final conclusion:

The laboratory tests of existing DuO roof samples show that the results can still be compared to the characteristics that are declared for new membranes.

The results also show that DuO keeps its achievements in the New Zealand climate.

This durability report shows a bright future for the expected serviceability of DuO in the Oceania region.

We can conclude that the DuO membrane, installed on roofs in different continents and as a part of different roof concepts, still performs very well after an extra 5 years of natural ageing.

General conclusion: Taking into account that the oldest roofs in Europe are currently more than 30 years old, we can state today that, with a correct roof and roof detail maintenance, the

previous expected lifetime can be confirmed, meaning that a lifetime expectancy of 35 years is achievable.